

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,526	12/19/2003	Patrick C. Urschel	A3-1700	1525
27127 7	7590 01/18/2005		EXAM	INER
HARTMAN & HARTMAN, P.C.			CHOI, STEPHEN	
552 EAST 700 VALPARAISO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMI	
	,		3724	
			DATE MAILED, 01/19/2004	•

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
	10/707,526	URSCHEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen Choi	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared in the second	pears n th cover sheet with the	c rrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dawill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 N	lovember 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under I	<i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-13,15-17 and 20</u> is	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-13,15-17 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2003 is/a		ted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/s	a)-(d)·or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i priority under oo o.c.o. 3 i rote				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	tion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Proffsporson's Patent Proving Poving (PTO 948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D	•			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/1/04&12/19/03</u> .	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, Species D in the reply filed on 22 November 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a restriction between Group I apparatus claim1 and group II process claim 14 is improper since the process claim 14 has not been shown as being capable of being practiced by another and material different apparatus than the apparatus as claimed in the apparatus claim 1. This is not found persuasive because the restriction is based on distinct inventions and not distinct claims or individual claims. The issue at hand is whether the inventions represented by the groups of claims are distinct and whether there is burden on the examiner if the restriction was not required. As set forth in the previous office action, the inventions are deemed distinct and there would be burden on the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 14 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leo (US 2,006,643).

Leo discloses all the recited steps of the invention including:

Art Unit: 3724

a) individually delivering food products to a cutting means comprising at least one cutting element (42) disposed in a cutting plane that is not vertical by causing the food products to free-fall through a feed passage (at 20) and then free-fall through the cutting means entirely under the force of gravity (page 2, left col., lines 56-57) and on a path that is approximately normal to the cutting plane;

b) contacting the food products and positioning the food products so that they free-fall on the path at a predetermined location within a cross-section of the feed passage as the food products free-fall through the feed passage and prior to encountering the cutting means so as to produce size-reduced products of substantially consistent size and shape and regarding claims 18-19 (page 1, left col., lines 34-37).

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Thompson, Lamb, Glenn et al., Soleri, Yamauchi et al., Samson et al., Burch, Jr., Jacko et al., and Jacko are cited to show related devices.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

14 January 2005

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER